PECEIVED TO 1700



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,469	01/07/2002	Ram S. Narang	D/96176D1D	6090	
75	90 07/03/2003			8	
Ratent Documentation Center			EXAMINER		
Terox Corporation Refox Souare 20th Floor			HAMILTON, CYNTHIA		
Refox Square 2 100 Clinton Av Schester, NY	e. S. 14644	received	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, set		JUL 0 7 2003	1752		
ACMILE.		JUL 0 1 2003	DATE MAIL ED: 07/03/2003	DATE MAIL ED: 07/03/2003	


PATENT DEPARTMENT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETED-DLK

| St OA| amond. due: 10-3-03

6.1		Application N .	Applicant(s)	7
└ \		10/036,469	NARANG ET AL.	
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cynthia Hamilton	1752	
	TE of this communication ap	1 -	11	ldress
Period for Reply				
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set o	JTORY PERIOD FOR REPL F THIS COMMUNICATION. illable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reped above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	ommunication(s) filed on 4/1	7/03,1/7/02, 12/18/02 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FII		nis action is non-final.		
	ation is in condition for allow lance with the practice under			ne merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,1</u>	5-27,30,31,33,44,45 and 47	-71 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above	claim(s) <u>10-13, 17-27, 30-31</u>	<u>, 38-41, and 47-56</u> is/are	withdrawn from considera	ation.
5) Claim(s) is	s/are allowed.			12
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,33,4</u> 4	4,45,57-60 and 64-71 is/are	ejected.		
7) Claim(s) is	s/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15</u> Application Papers	s/are objected to. 5-27,30,31,33,44,45 and 47-	71 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirer	ACT OF MIS
9) The specification i	s objected to by the Examin	er.		90
10) The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
TO ITTE GLAWING(3) INC	t request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		-		
Applicant may no	wing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may no 11)☐ The proposed draw		_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre	wing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ eply to this Office action.	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in retation is objected to by the E	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ eply to this Office action.	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in retation is objected to by the E	is: a) approved b) approved b) apply to this Office action. xaminer.		ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in relation is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreig	is: a) approved b) approved b) apply to this Office action. xaminer.		ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, correct 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in relation is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreig	is: a) approved b) approved b) apply to this Office action. xaminer.		ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified co	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in relation is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreigne* c) None of:	is: a) approved b) apply to this Office action. examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. at have been received.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	ner.
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the	wing correction filed on cted drawings are required in relation is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreign e * c) None of: opies of the priority document	is: a) approved b) apply to this Office action. examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. ts have been received. Its have been received in cority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	Application No en received in this National	
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, corre 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of to applica * See the attached decrease.	wing correction filed onected drawings are required in retration is objected to by the E \$ 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreigne * c) None of: opies of the priority document opies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority from the International Better the priority and the priority from the International Better the Interna	is: a) approved b) apply to this Office action. examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. ts have been received. Its have been received in crity documents have been received in the certified copies not to the certified copies not copies not the certified copies not c	Application No en received in this National to received.	Stage
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, correct 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified conduction 2. Certified conduction 2. Copies of the application * See the attached do 14) Acknowledgment is a) The translation	wing correction filed on cted drawings are required in re- ration is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreign e * c) None of: opies of the priority document opies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority tion from the International Billetailed Office action for a list	is: a) approved b) to this Office action. eply to this Office action. examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. ts have been received. Its have been received in crity documents have been received in to the certified copies not to priority under 35 U.S.C. ovisional application has	Application No Application No In received in this National It received. Solution of the provisional Contraction of the provisional of the provisional Contraction of the provisional of the prov	Stage
Applicant may not 11) The proposed draw If approved, correct 12) The oath or declar Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 13) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified conduction 2. Certified conduction 2. Copies of the application * See the attached do 14) Acknowledgment is a) The translation	wing correction filed on cted drawings are required in relation is objected to by the E § 119 and 120 is made of a claim for foreign e * c) None of: opies of the priority document opies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority for a list is made of a claim for domes on of the foreign language priority document	is: a) approved b) to this Office action. eply to this Office action. examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. ts have been received. Its have been received in crity documents have been received in to the certified copies not to priority under 35 U.S.C. ovisional application has	Application No Application No In received in this National It received. Solution of the provisional Contraction of the provisional of the provisional Contraction of the provisional of the prov	Stage



Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

1. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 121 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed applications must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. _____" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application. Applicants have made a priority claim to 09/246,167 and 08/705,372 but have not amended their disclosure to perfect such a claim as required.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time



Application/Control Number: 10/036,469

Art Unit: 1752

period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

- 2. Claims 1-9, 14-15, 28-29, 32, 34-37, 42-43 and 46 have been cancelled by applicants. Claim 16 has been returned to it's original wording by amendment. Claims 57-71 have been amended to reflect this return. Claims 57-71 are not part of the original disclosure. Claims 10-13, 15-27, 30-31, 33, 44-45, 47-56, and 57-71 are present.
- 3. Claims 10-13, 18-27, 30-31, 38-41, and 47-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5. This leaves claims 16-17, 33, 44-45 and 57-60, 64-71.
- 4. Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV in Paper No. 5, filed January 13, 2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (1) Groups II, III, IV, V and VI can be